

<b>Family Name</b>	Murray
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1286370
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Murray
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1286370
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>There is already far too many homes for this area. The existing infrastructure is already unsuitable for the amount of new homes being built.</p> <p>There is not enough amenities such as doctors and dentists.</p> <p>The traffic in the morning is already backed up along every single main trunk road and through every existing housing estate.</p> <p>There is absolutely no benefit to adding more homes to an already unsupported and overpopulated area. This is merely a cash grab opportunity.</p> <p>The people who chose to live here did so because of the green status. This is being eroded away by greed.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>The planners need to take a long hard look at this and realise it is a ridiculous proposal and is not feasible to anyone other than those whose bank balances it stands to benefit.</p>